



**PPIC**

PUBLIC POLICY  
INSTITUTE OF CALIFORNIA



---

REPORT · DECEMBER 2021

# Keeping College Affordable for California Students

Kevin Cook and Jacob Jackson, with research support from Idalys Perez

---

*Supported with funding from the Sutton Family Fund*

# Table of Contents

<b>Key Takeaways</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>College Costs Can Be a Barrier to Access and Success</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Financial Aid Keeps College Affordable for Many, but the Costs Are Daunting for Some</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>College Could Be Affordable for More Students</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Authors and Acknowledgments</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>PPIC Board of Directors</b>	<b>13</b>

## Key Takeaways

California has traditionally kept college affordable with a combination of low tuition—particularly at its community colleges—and generous financial aid. However, past recessions prompted cuts in state funding to the University of California (UC) and California State University (CSU), and tuition tripled between 1995–96 and 2011–12. Since then state funding has increased and tuition at public institutions has remained relatively stable. However, in an era of constrained resources, revenue volatility, and increasing economic inequality, a resilient, effective, and efficient financial aid system is increasingly important.

- A combination of federal, state, and institutional aid protects lower-income students from tuition increases and ensures that most students at public higher education institutions pay no tuition. However, the combined cost of housing, fees, books, and transportation often greatly outstrips tuition. The state should consider expanding aid to cover these costs for students most in need—this could be particularly helpful at the community colleges, which serve large shares of lower-income Californians.
- Only half of California’s high school seniors apply for federal financial aid for college, even though two-thirds enroll in a postsecondary institution. The state and its educational institutions should work together to make students aware of their financial aid options and make it easier for them to apply.
- Many students take longer than four years to complete bachelor’s degrees. Reducing the time to degree at four-year colleges would allow students to avoid the costs associated with extra years of schooling, ensure that their financial aid does not run out, and enable them to enter the workforce sooner.
- Transferring from community college to a four-year university can be a cost-effective way to earn a bachelor’s degree, but transfer pathways need to be streamlined to help more students get degrees more quickly.
- Californians—especially those who enroll in public or nonprofit colleges—are less likely to carry student loan debt than their peers in other states, and they borrow less, on average, than students in the rest of the nation. But some graduates—and many who do not graduate—struggle to pay off their loans.

## College Costs Can Be a Barrier to Access and Success


The cost of attending college in California remains a significant hurdle to educational attainment for many Californians. About eight in ten Californians surveyed by PPIC (2018) see college affordability as a problem, and 61 percent believe that there is not enough government support for scholarships and grants. In a recent survey by the California Student Aid Commission, 64 percent of student respondents identified college costs or the management of school and work responsibilities as the biggest obstacles to success (California Student Aid Commission 2019a). Among high school graduates in California, those from low-income families are only about half as likely to enroll in a four-year college as their higher income peers (Johnson and Cuellar Mejia 2020b).

Tuition, the most familiar college cost, varies considerably across institutions. While private colleges rely mostly on tuition to cover their operating expenses, public universities cover the cost of educating a student with a combination of tuition and state budget appropriations. Until the late 1990s, state funding for public higher education covered the majority of this cost. However, state funding for the University of California

and California State University was cut by a third during a series of severe recessions; as a result, tuition tripled between 1995–96 and 2011–12.

Over the past decade, tuition at public universities and colleges has held steady, but other costs associated with attending college—especially housing—have increased. In fact, for a majority of students attending public postsecondary institutions in California, the combined cost of housing, fees, books, and transportation is greater than tuition. This is particularly true for those enrolled in community college (Figure 1).

**FIGURE 1**  
**For students at public colleges, tuition is a small part of overall costs**



**SOURCE:** Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.  
**NOTES:** Data from 2019. Housing costs are the typical institutions estimated cost of living off-campus. California Community College and California State University students are much more likely to live with family, which could greatly reduce living costs.


Affordability seems to be a significant factor in whether and where students choose to go to college. In 2017–18, California high school graduates with incomes low enough to receive free or reduced-price lunch were less likely to attend college in California (57%) compared to their peers (75%).<sup>1</sup> Almost two-thirds of low-income students who did enroll in postsecondary schooling started at a community college, compared to about half of their peers.

Financial need differs not only across types of colleges, but also within colleges. One way to measure financial need is by examining Pell Grants, which are awarded to students with exceptional financial need. Pell Grant recipients make up fewer than half of students (45%) at the CSU and UC, but there are some significant racial/ethnic disparities: more than half of Black and Latino students at UC and CSU receive Pell Grants, compared to fewer than a third of white students (Figure 2).<sup>2</sup>

1. Author calculations from California Department of Education Data Quest data. K–12. The department defines socioeconomically disadvantaged as students who participate in the free/reduced-price lunch program, neither parent received high school diploma, considered homeless, foster eligible, was enrolled in a juvenile court school, eligible for Title I, part C migrant program, was Directly Certified, or eligible as a Tribal Foster Youth. This category includes about 6 in 10 high school students.  
2. The most recent national data (2015–16) from the National Center for Education Statistics student survey shows similar differences by race (US Department of Education 2019).

FIGURE 2

## Financial need varies by race within California's public universities



**SOURCES:** UC Infocenter; CSU Enrollment Dashboard.

**NOTES:** Data are the percent of students from each racial/ethnic category receiving federal Pell grants at University of California and California State University from the 2018–19 academic year. UC did not report "two or more races" and "Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander," so those categories represent only CSU students.

Making college affordable for more students can potentially increase access and lower barriers to completion, which could help close the projected degree gap by 2030, and at the same time promote more equitable access to and success in college.<sup>3</sup> Deciding how to distribute financial aid, how much should be provided, and how best to ensure that these investments lead to improved student outcomes, involves balancing competing priorities and making the most of limited resources.

## Financial Aid Keeps College Affordable for Many, but the Costs Are Daunting for Some

California has a long history of making college affordable. In the past, its public colleges and universities charged little or no tuition. More recently, the state has combined higher tuition with more financial aid to cover tuition for students most in need of financial assistance. After tuition rose dramatically during the Great Recession, policymakers made incremental, targeted investments to address the growing cost of college, focusing on students with the most financial need.

California's robust student aid program, coupled with federal and institutional grants, ensures that the majority of California public college students do not pay tuition. As the total cost of college—including housing, books, and food—continues to rise, the state faces the challenge of determining the best way to ensure equitable access to the state's colleges and universities while preventing overwhelming student debt.

3. Estimated difference between jobs that require a college degree and workers with a college degree in 2030 (Johnson, Bohn, and Cuellar Mejia 2015).

## Federal, State, and Institutional Programs Reduce Tuition, but Other Costs Are High

Traditionally, state financial aid programs have subsidized tuition at public four-year colleges to ensure that all students in California have the opportunity to pursue higher education. This strategy has kept tuition relatively affordable.

### Federal, state, and institutional student aid

US citizens and eligible noncitizens can apply for federal and state financial aid via the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA). Undocumented California residents who meet nonresident exemption requirements can apply for state aid via the California Dream Act Application.

**Federal programs.** The Pell Grant provides a maximum of \$6,500 per year for six years to students with the greatest financial need. Students can use Pell Grants to help pay for any tuition, housing, or other college costs. The federal government also provides non-grant aid in the form of subsidized loans, loan forgiveness programs, and work study.

**State programs.** California provides most grant aid to students through Cal Grants: the 2021–22 state budget removed age and time-of-enrollment requirements for community college students, enabling an additional 99,000 to receive Cal Grants in 2021–22. Next year, these requirements will also be removed for UC and CSU students; this is likely to increase eligibility in those systems by about 40,000 students.

- Cal Grant A covers four years of full tuition at a California public college (or \$9,084 of nonprofit private college tuition per year).
- In 2022–23, Cal Grant B, which provides funding to low-income students to pay for non-tuition related expenses, will increase from \$1,600 to \$2,000. Eligible students who transfer to UC or CSU from a community college and who meet requirements can also get Cal Grants A or B.
- Cal Grant C provides tuition and non-tuition coverage to mostly community college students enrolled in career education programs (Cook, Jackson, and Lee 2019).

The state also provides assistance through the Middle Class Scholarship program. Students whose families have income and assets up to \$191,000 are eligible for a grant for between 10 percent and 40 percent of the mandatory system-wide tuition and fees at UC or CSU campuses. Beginning in 2022–23, Cal Grant recipients will also be eligible for Middle Class Scholarship grants, potentially providing additional funding for non-tuition costs.

**Institutional aid.** UC's Blue and Gold Opportunity Plan ensures that students whose family incomes are below \$80,000 do not pay tuition by covering the tuition owed after federal, state, and local aid has been accounted for. CSU has a similar program, called the State University Grant. It covers tuition, less federal and state grant aid, for students from families with income below \$67,600. Nonprofit private colleges also provide varying amounts of institutional aid.

Not all students access the financial aid that is available to them. In order to receive Cal Grants, prospective (and current) students must fill out the federal Free Application for Student Aid (FAFSA). However, only 54 percent of high school seniors in the state applied for aid in 2019, while about two-thirds of graduates enrolled in higher education. Researchers estimate that up to 20 percent of community college students


who would qualify for federal grants do not apply (Wheelhouse 2018). Application rates vary considerably across the state: in Waterford School District near Modesto, slightly more than 20 percent of seniors applied, while in Los Angeles Unified, the largest in California, more than 80 percent of seniors applied.

With all grant aid accounted for, the total cost of college differs considerably across family income levels (Figure 3). For example, a CSU student from a family making more than \$110,000 per year pays, on average, more than \$21,000 per year, while a CSU student whose family earns less than \$30,000 pays \$6,300. However, the proportionate cost of college is quite high for low-income students: the net cost could make up a quarter to a third of a low-income family’s total income.

**FIGURE 3**

**Even with financial aid, families pay thousands per year for college**

Net price



**SOURCE:** Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.  
**NOTES:** Data are from the 2018-19 academic year. Net price is the cost of tuition, books, housing, fees, and other associated expenses not covered by grant aid.

**Costs Are Higher for Students Who Take More Time to Graduate**

Estimating the total cost of college can be difficult, because many students take longer than four years to obtain bachelor’s degrees. For example, about one in four UC students who earn a degree and about half of

CSU graduates take longer than four years to earn their degrees.<sup>4</sup> Many students are attending full-time<sup>5</sup> but are not enrolling in enough units to graduate on time, possibly to allow more time for work or study (Jackson 2020). Many factors—including degree requirements or changing majors—may also lead students to accumulate more units than the minimum necessary to graduate, leading to a longer time and more costs for students (Jackson and Cook 2016).

While starting out at a community college can be a cost-effective way to get a college degree, fewer than one in five eventual transfers do so within two years (Johnson and Cuellar Mejia 2020a). Transfer students are as likely to earn bachelor's degrees as their peers who start out at four-year schools, but a third of transfers who graduate from UC and half of transfers who graduate from CSU take more than two years to obtain degrees.

Delayed entry to the workforce is perhaps the biggest cost to extra time in college, as median earnings for graduates from California's public universities are about \$40,000 per year. However, students also face significant costs for extra years of tuition and living expenses. Students may have to cover those costs without aid, as they may lose Cal Grant eligibility if they take longer than four years to graduate, and could lose Pell Grant eligibility after six years. These extra costs combined with deferred income can add up—particularly for African American and Latino students, who are more likely to take more than four years to earn a degree (Jackson 2020).

## Student Loan Debt among Californians Is Low, but Some Struggle to Pay off Loans

To pay for college costs that are not covered by financial aid, many students take out government and/or private educational loans. By most measures, Californians carry less educational loan debt than students in other states. This is partly because Californians are more likely than those in other states to start at a community college, where tuition is among the lowest in the nation and students rarely take out federal loans.

However, California's generous aid programs also play a role. Across four-year nonprofit public and private institutions, the estimated share of graduates with federal and private college debt is 47 percent, while the national average is 62 percent. Moreover, the average amount of educational debt per borrower is among the lowest in the nation—at about \$21,500, compared to the national average of about \$29,000 (Institute for College Access and Success 2020).

Most California students who borrow to cover college costs get loans from the federal government, which calculates loan offers based on family ability to pay and other available aid.<sup>6</sup> In general, levels of student loan debt vary according to where Californians attend college. For example, students in for-profit institutions

---

4. The proportion of graduates taking longer than four years is calculated from the 2013 cohort, for which we see seven years of graduation data. The most recent four-year graduation rates for first-time freshmen at UC (71%) and CSU (33%), show improvement over 2013, when UC was at 67 percent and CSU was at 23 percent.

5. Full-time for enrollment and financial aid purposes is 12 units per term, but without the use of summer courses or other additional credits, that is not enough units to graduate in four years.

6. Less is known about other kinds of debt, including the use of credit cards for education and education-related expenditures, though the California Student Aid Commission estimates that most (77%) students with a credit card pay for some educational or living expenses on credit (California Student Aid Commission 2019b). In general, private loans and credit cards tend to have much higher interest rates than federal loans (TICAS 2020).

are much more likely to borrow: two thirds of first-time full-time freshmen take out federal loans, compared to only a third at public universities.<sup>7</sup> On average, borrowers who graduate from private institutions of all types owe \$5,000 to \$10,000 more than their peers who attend public universities. Loan debt also varies by race. African American students—who are overrepresented at for-profit private institutions—are more likely to borrow for their education and are also likely to borrow larger amounts (California Student Aid Commission 2021).

Most students make progress paying off loans once they graduate, but many struggle to make payments. Three-year federal loan default rates are very low for graduates of public and nonprofit four-year institutions (at or below 3%), but there are signs that some Californians are having trouble paying their federal loans early on.<sup>8</sup> A third of borrowers who graduated from public and private nonprofit four-year institutions do not make progress on paying off their loans in the first two years: they are in default, forbearance, have missed a payment, or are making smaller payments and have a balance that is more than it was when they graduated.

Loan default rates are higher for those who graduated from private, for-profit institutions (15% for two-year and 11% for four-year institutions), and a majority of borrowers who graduated from private, for-profit institutions are not making progress in the first two years after graduation. Moreover, borrowers who did not complete college are less likely to make progress on their loans than their peers who graduated.

## College Could Be Affordable for More Students

Thanks to generous state aid that has grown with tuition, California has kept college affordable for many students. However, the state, institutions, and students can take—and are already taking—a number of steps to improve college access and success by making college more affordable for more students—particularly those who come from historically underrepresented groups.

### Help more students take advantage of existing aid

Higher education institutions and their K–12 partners should work together to get more students to apply for aid. Students are leaving money on the table by not applying for aid—up to 20 percent of community college students who do not apply for aid would qualify for Pell Grants, which can help pay for costs beyond tuition. Recent Cal Grant policy changes will make more students eligible for state aid, and applying for aid could become even more important if the federal government acts on a proposal to increase the Pell Grant. The state recently passed AB 132, which mandates that school districts monitor and report on FAFSA and Dream Act application rates for their high school seniors and ensures that those that do not opt out apply for aid starting in 2023. In addition, the state plans to simplify the financial aid process by connecting student records to the California Student Aid Commission via the Cradle-to-Career Data System that is currently being developed. These steps could increase the number of students who apply for and receive aid.

---

7. Author calculations from federal College Scorecard data.

8. Author calculations from federal College Scorecard data. This data was collected just prior to the pandemic and does not reflect pandemic-related federal loan deferment policy changes. Very few community college students take federal loans, and those students are not included in these calculations.

## Strengthen transfer pathways from community college to four-year college

Transferring from a community college to a four-year institution can be a cost-effective way to earn a bachelor's degree. Completion and transfer rates at the state's community colleges have been improving, but they remain low: only 19 percent of students who initially intend to transfer actually succeed, and most of those who do succeed often take more than four years to transfer and get baccalaureate degrees. Streamlining transfer pathways by making it easier for students to accumulate the right credits could make a more affordable path for students. Guided Pathways and the Associate Degree for Transfer have shown promise in reducing complexity and moving more students along transfer pathways. Also, the remediation reforms mandated by AB 705 are giving more students direct access to transfer-level coursework; this is likely to result in quicker accumulation of the credits needed to transfer, and may lead to a larger number of transfers (Rodriguez, Cuellar Mejia, and Johnson 2018).

## Ensure timely paths to completion

Students who take more than four years to graduate pay more years of tuition, fees, living costs, and books—and they incur the cost of missed wages. Institutions should make sure students have the supports and paths to graduate in a timely manner. As a part of its Graduation Initiative 2025, CSU has focused on increasing the number of students taking course loads that lead to on-time graduation through changes in advising and aligning course offerings with demand. They have also standardized graduation requirements across many majors and reduced some major requirements. During this graduation initiative, course-loads have increased and on-time graduation has improved (Jackson and Cook 2016; Jackson 2020).

## Reduce non-tuition costs through aid and policy

As we have seen, some students and families continue to struggle with the net cost of college. Expanding financial aid to cover more costs could be especially helpful in improving completion and transfer at community colleges, which serve a large share of lower-income students as well as many older, first-generation, and underrepresented students of color. Providing additional financial aid has been shown to improve student access and persistence (Bettinger 2004; Goldrick-Rab, Kelchen, Harris, and Benson 2016; Kurlaender, Martorell, and Friedmann 2021). However, given the size of the community college population, this would require a significant state investment. The governor recently vetoed a bill that would have provided funding for non-tuition costs for a larger number of students, citing its high cost. Targeting students with the greatest financial need could help reduce the cost increase of such a plan.

Housing, the largest cost associated with attending college for many students, is an issue that transcends higher education. Efforts to lower the cost of housing for Californians will also affect college affordability for students. The state allocated \$2 billion in one-time grant funding to allow community colleges to develop housing for low-income students, which could help reduce the cost of attendance for prospective students. The state has also streamlined the CalFresh application process, allowing more students to claim CalFresh

assistance, and invested \$115 million to increase the use of open-access academic resources, which could reduce textbook costs for some students.<sup>9</sup>

## References

- Bettinger, Eric. 2004. "How Financial Aid Affects Persistence." In *College Choices: The Economics of Where to Go, When to Go, and How to Pay For It*, edited by Caroline M. Hoxby (University of Chicago Press), 207–37.
- California Student Aid Commission. 2019a. *2018–19 Student Expenses and Resources Survey: Initial Insights*, Vol. 4.
- California Student Aid Commission. 2019b. *Credit Cards and Student Debt*. SEARS Survey Spotlight.
- California Student Aid Commission 2021. *California Student Loan and Debt Service Review Workgroup Final Report*.
- Cook, Kevin, Jacob Jackson, and Courtney Lee. 2019. *State Financial Aid in California*. Public Policy Institute of California.
- Goldrick-Rab, Sara, Robert Kelchen, Douglas N. Harris, and James Benson. 2016. Reducing Income Inequality in Educational Attainment: Experimental Evidence on the Impact of Financial Aid on College Completion. *American Journal of Sociology*. 121(6): 1762-1817.
- Institute for College Access and Success. 2020. *Student Debt and the Class of 2019*.
- Jackson, Jacob, and Kevin Cook. 2016. *Improving College Graduation Rates: A Closer Look at California State University*. Public Policy Institute of California.
- Jackson, Jacob. 2020. *Getting to Graduation on Time at California State University*. Public Policy Institute of California.
- Johnson, Hans, Sarah Bohn, and Marisol Cuellar Mejia. 2015. *Will California Run out of College Graduates?* Public Policy Institute of California.
- Johnson, Hans, and Marisol Cuellar Mejia. 2020a. *Increasing Community College Transfers*. Public Policy Institute of California.
- Johnson, Hans, and Marisol Cuellar Mejia. 2020b. *Higher Education and Economic Opportunity in California*. Public Policy Institute of California.
- Kurlaender, Michal, Paco Martorell, and Elizabeth Friedmann. 2021. *Financial Aid for California Community College Students*. Wheelhouse.

---

9. AB 396 (Gabriel) was recently passed and signed into law. This bill reduces barriers to college students accessing CalFresh benefits by expanding and clarifying the Employment and Training exemption. Expanding the number of programs at colleges that qualify for this exemption could vastly increase the number of students eligible for CalFresh benefits, particularly in the community college system.

Rodriguez, Olga, Marisol Cuellar Mejia, and Hans Johnson. 2018. *Remedial Education Reforms at California's Community Colleges: Early Evidence on Placement and Curricular Reforms*. Public Policy Institute of California.

US Department of Education. 2019. *Trends in Pell Grant Receipt and the Characteristics of Pell Grant Recipients: Selected Years, 2003–04 to 2015–16*. NCES 2019-487.

Wheelhouse. 2018. “Money Left on the Table.” Research Brief, Vol. 3.

## About the Authors

**Kevin Cook** is the associate center director of the PPIC Higher Education Center. His research interests include education finance and policy for both K–12 and higher education, and his current work focuses on performance-based funding, online learning, and public pensions. Before joining PPIC, he worked as a research assistant in the investments division of the California State Teachers' Retirement System, where he conducted sustainability risk analysis. He holds an MPPA from Sacramento State University and a BA in history from Occidental College.

**Jacob Jackson** is a research fellow at the PPIC Higher Education Center. His research includes work on college costs, college readiness, community college participation, access to higher education, and college completion. Before joining PPIC, he was a postdoctoral scholar at the University of California, Davis, and a middle school and high school teacher in Sacramento, California, and Columbia, Missouri. He holds a PhD in education from the University of California, Davis, and a master's degree in education from the University of Missouri.

## Acknowledgments

Thanks to the Sutton Family Fund for supporting this work. We appreciate thoughtful reviews and editorial support from Caroline Danielson, Hans Johnson, and Mary Severance. We relied on excellent research support from Idalys Perez and Darriya Starr.

# PPIC Board of Directors

**Steven A. Merksamer, Chair**

*Of Counsel*

Nielsen Merksamer Parrinello  
Gross & Leoni LLP

**Mark Baldassare**

*President and CEO*

Public Policy Institute of California

**Louise Henry Bryson**

*Chair Emerita, Board of Trustees*

J. Paul Getty Trust

**A. Marisa Chun**

**Chet Hewitt**

*President and CEO*

Sierra Health Foundation

**Phil Isenberg**

*Former Chair*

Delta Stewardship Council

**Mas Masumoto**

*Author and Farmer*

**Leon E. Panetta**

*Chairman*

The Panetta Institute for Public Policy

**Gerald L. Parsky**

*Chairman*

Aurora Capital Group

**Kim Polese**

*Chairman and Co-founder*

CrowdSmart

**Cassandra Walker Pye**

*Executive Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer*

Lucas Public Affairs

**Helen Iris Torres**

*CEO*

Hispanas Organized for Political Equality

**Gaddi H. Vasquez**

*Retired Senior Vice President, Government Affairs*

Edison International

Southern California Edison

© 2021 Public Policy Institute of California

PPIC is a public charity. It does not take or support positions on any ballot measures or on any local, state, or federal legislation, nor does it endorse, support, or oppose any political parties or candidates for public office.

Short sections of text, not to exceed three paragraphs, may be quoted without written permission provided that full attribution is given to the source.

Research publications reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of our funders or of the staff, officers, advisory councils, or board of directors of the Public Policy Institute of California.