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The PPIC Statewide Survey series provides policymakers, the media, and the general public with objective, advocacy-free information on the perceptions, opinions, and public policy preferences of California residents. Inaugurated in April 1998, the survey series has generated a database that includes the responses of more than 104,000 Californians.

The current survey is the nineteenth in our Californians and Their Government series, which is conducted on a periodic basis throughout the state’s election cycles. The series is examining the social, economic, and political trends that underlie public policy preferences and ballot choices. The current survey focuses on the November 2nd statewide election. It examines voters’ preferences in the presidential election, the U.S. Senate race, and on three state propositions on health policy issues that will also be on the ballot, as well as Californians’ attitudes toward the elected officials who represent them in Washington and Sacramento, and residents’ perceptions of elections and involvement in the political process. This report presents the responses of 2,018 adult residents throughout the state on a wide range of issues:

- The November 2nd statewide election, including preferences in the presidential election, perceived influence of the presidential debates, most important issues for the candidates to address in the time remaining before the election, perceptions of which of the major party candidates will do a better job in handling issues such as the economy, the situation in Iraq, health care, and terrorism and homeland security; the U.S. Senate election, attention to news and awareness of commercials on the U.S. Senate race; and public support for, and attitudes related to, Proposition 63 (mental health services), Proposition 71 (stem cell research), and Proposition 72 (health care coverage requirements referendum).

- Political trends, including overall approval ratings of President Bush, the U.S. Congress, the two U.S. Senators from California, Governor Schwarzenegger, and the state legislature; assessments of local representation in the U.S. Congress and state legislature, and an evaluation of the recall process and its political impacts one year after the October 7, 2003, governor’s recall election.

- Elections and the political process, including public support for the electoral college system, confidence in vote counts in California and the nation, preference for voting systems in California, perceptions of trends in political campaigns in California, political information sources (including the role of the Internet and talk radio shows), political involvement (including volunteering and financial contributions), and perceptions of political empowerment through voting in elections.

- The extent to which Californians differ in their ballot choices for the November election; political and economic attitudes toward the state; and attitudes toward national political issues by party affiliation, demographics, race/ethnicity, and region of residence.

This is the 51st PPIC Statewide Survey, which has included a number of special surveys on the Central Valley, Orange County, Los Angeles County, San Diego County, population growth, land use, the environment, the state budget, and California in the future.

Copies of this report may be ordered by e-mail (order@ppic.org) or phone (415-291-4400). Copies of this and earlier reports are posted on the publications page of the PPIC web site (www.ppic.org). For questions about the survey, please contact survey@ppic.org.
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SAN FRANCISCO, California, October 21, 2004 — As President Bush and Senator Kerry hustle for the last undecided votes in swing states, California’s neglected voters pine for a change in the electoral process that would make the state more relevant in close campaigns, according to a new survey released by the Public Policy Institute of California (PPIC).

On the eve of what appears to be another nail-biter, 64 percent of all Californians – and 64 percent of the state’s likely voters – say they would support altering the electoral process so that presidents are elected by popular vote rather than electoral college tally. And on this topic, California partisans find common ground: Majorities of Democrats (76%), independents (67%), and Republicans (52%) all support the idea.

Although California is perceived by pundits to be a “safe” state for Democratic presidential candidates, residents here are watching the race with intense interest: 61 percent of likely voters say they are “very closely” following election news, up sharply from September (50%). Has their scrutiny of the campaigns changed the minds of any voters? Most (54%) say the recent debates helped them little or not at all in their decision, while 17 percent feel the debates helped a lot and 26 percent say they helped some.

The Democratic ticket of Senators John Kerry and John Edwards holds a 12-point lead over the ticket of Republican President George W. Bush and Vice President Dick Cheney (51% to 39%) – the same as one month ago. Kerry leads Bush among independent voters (55% to 30%) and Latinos (60% to 31%), while Bush is favored over Kerry by evangelical Christians (60% to 29%) and married people (47% to 43%).

Asked which issue they would most like to hear the candidates address during the remainder of the campaign, likely voters name the economy, jobs, and unemployment (27%), followed by the war in Iraq (16%), health care (10%), and terrorism and homeland security (6%). California voters prefer Kerry to Bush on jobs and the economy (55% to 38%) and health care (56% to 32%). Voters narrowly support Kerry over Bush on Iraq (48% to 45%) and choose Bush over Kerry on homeland security (49% to 43%).

California’s Recall Election: One Year Later

While California may not be the epicenter of presidential campaign activity this year, it did capture the nation’s attention one year ago during the recall of then-Governor Gray Davis. How do Californians feel about the process today? Similar to their reaction last October (73%), most residents today (71%) consider the ability to recall elected officials a good thing. About half say the recall process is in need of major (28%) or minor (24%) reform – however, there has been an 11-point increase from September 2003 in the percentage who believe that the system is okay the way it is. Californians today are also more likely to support a recall effort in the future: 30 percent say they are more likely to use the recall as a result of recent experience, compared to 18 percent who shared this view just prior to the recall election. Finally, more residents today say they feel better (33%) rather than worse (23%) about politics in California as a result of the recall election.
“Californians feel vindicated by the way the recall process ultimately worked and this has only
strengthened their support for this check on government power,” says PPIC Statewide Survey Director
Mark Baldassare. “But the reality is that much of the good feeling about the recall today may be the
result of Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger’s widespread popularity.”

Approval Ratings for State, Federal Leaders

One year after Governor Schwarzenegger’s historic election, Californians remain impressed with his
performance. Eight in 10 say the governor has met (41%) or exceeded (40%) their expectations. Voters
are even more likely (46%) than state residents as a whole to describe the governor’s first year in office as
better than expected. Overall, support for the governor remains strong, with 61 percent of Californians
approving of the way he is handling his job. Interestingly, Latinos are far less likely than whites to
approve of his performance (36% to 73%).

Ratings for the state legislature’s performance are far lower than the governor’s and have changed little in
recent months (43% approve, 41% disapprove). However, a majority of Latinos (52%) say they approve
of the performance of the legislature. When it comes to assessing their own member of the state assembly
and senate, residents as a whole are more supportive: 49 percent say they approve of the job their local
state legislators are doing, while 31 percent disapprove.

At the federal level, 55 percent of Californians disapprove and 42 percent approve of how President Bush
is handling his job overall, similar to his September ratings (54% disapprove, 43% approve). Majorities
approve of the job performance of U.S. Senators Barbara Boxer (53% approve, 27% disapprove) and
Dianne Feinstein (51% approve, 26% disapprove), with likely voters as supportive or more so than state
residents generally (Boxer 54%, Feinstein 58%). However, the percentage of state residents who say
Congress as a whole is doing an excellent or good job continues to decline, falling 5 points since February
to 27 percent. Similar to their assessment of their local state legislators, Californians have a rosier view
of their local members of Congress, with 39 percent giving their own congressperson positive ratings.

Political Engagement

Despite the tremendous interest in the presidential election – and the fact that most state residents (69%)
believe that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think – relatively few
Californians are actually involved in political campaigns. Only 5 percent of state residents and 7 percent
of likely voters say they have worked for a political party, candidate, or initiative campaign in the past 12
months. However, higher percentages of both Californians generally (20%) and likely voters (29%) say
they have contributed money to political causes in the past year.

Where do Californians get their news and information about politics? State residents are more likely to get
their political news from television (44%) than from newspapers (20%), radio (12%), or the Internet (9%).
While the percentage of Californians seeking political information on-line has not increased since the last
presidential election, more people today receive it via email. The percentage of state residents who report
being contacted by political causes or candidates has grown to 25 percent today, up from 11 percent in 2000.

Proposition Roundup

Proposition 63 – a ballot measure that would fund expansion of mental health services by raising the
personal income tax on those making over $1 million annually by 1 percent – is backed by 62 percent of
likely voters, nearly the same level of support found in August (66%). Support for this measure is driven
by the fact that most voters (66%) believe that the current level of state funding for mental health services
is inadequate.
Proposition 71 – a proposal to fund stem cell research in California with a $3 billion state bond issue – is favored by an 11-point margin and is supported by half of likely voters (50% to 39%). A majority of voters (53%) think the federal government spends too little on medical research involving embryonic stem cells.

Proposition 72 – a referendum on legislation passed last year requiring all large and medium employers in the state to provide health insurance for their employees – remains short of the majority needed to approve the law (41% to 38%) and has lost some ground since September (45% to 34%). Still, most voters (64%) say it is very important that large and medium employers provide health care benefits for their employees.

More Key Findings

- **Boxer maintains lead in Senate race** (page 3)

  Among likely voters, incumbent U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer has an 18-point lead over Republican challenger Bill Jones (53% to 35%). There has been little change in the race since September (54% to 36%). While 95 percent of voters say they are watching news of the presidential election at least somewhat closely, only 42 percent say the same about the Senate race. However, many voters (54%) report seeing advertisements for this race during the past month, with most (79%) recalling ads for Boxer rather than Jones (11%).

- **Confidence in voting process not overwhelming** (pages 13-14)

  A slim majority of Californians (51%) express substantial support for the way in which votes are cast and counted in the United States, but nearly half (47%) have only some, very little, or no confidence in the electoral system. Residents are more likely to prefer to cast their ballot electronically (36%) than by paper ballot (29%) or punchcards (24%).

- **Residents slightly more pessimistic about state’s direction, economic prospects** (page 21)

  Californians are divided about the overall direction of the state (44% right direction, 44% wrong direction) and about the state’s financial outlook for the coming year (42% good times, 43% bad times). One month ago, 47 percent of state residents thought the state was headed in the right direction and 46 percent saw good financial times ahead.

About the Survey

The purpose of the PPIC Statewide Survey is to develop an in-depth profile of the social, economic, and political forces affecting California elections and public policy preferences. Findings of this survey are based on a telephone survey of 2,018 California adult residents interviewed between October 10 and October 17, 2004. Interviews were conducted in English or Spanish. The sampling error for the total sample is +/- 2%. The sampling error for subgroups is larger. For more information, see page 19.

Mark Baldassare is research director at PPIC, where he holds the Arjay and Frances Fearing Miller Chair in Public Policy. He is founder of the PPIC Statewide Survey, which he has directed since 1998. His recent book, *A California State of Mind: The Conflicted Voter in a Changing World*, is available at www.ppic.org.

PPIC is a private, nonprofit organization dedicated to improving public policy through objective, nonpartisan research on the economic, social, and political issues that affect Californians. The institute was established in 1994 with an endowment from William R. Hewlett. PPIC does not take or support positions on any ballot measure or on any local, state, or federal legislation, nor does it endorse, support, or oppose any political parties or candidates for public office.

This report will appear on PPIC’s website (www.ppic.org) on October 21.
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Presidential Election

The presidential and vice presidential debates had little effect on the political race in California: Democrats John Kerry and John Edwards still have a double-digit lead over Republicans George W. Bush and Dick Cheney. Among likely voters, Kerry now leads Bush by the same 12-point margin (51% to 39%) he had in September. Kerry led Bush by 16 points in August (54% to 38%) and 11 points in July (49% to 38%). In the current survey, only 7 percent of likely voters are undecided, a percentage that has remained virtually unchanged over the past three months. At this late stage, most national surveys show a tight race for both the popular vote and the Electoral College between Kerry and Bush.

Eighty-six percent of Democrats back Kerry; 82 percent of Republicans back Bush. Kerry has a wide lead over Bush (55% to 30%) among independent voters. Across regions, Kerry has strong support in the San Francisco Bay Area and Los Angeles, Bush leads in the Central Valley, and the two are virtually tied in Other Southern California. Kerry’s lead over Bush is larger among Latino likely voters (60% to 31%) than among white voters (48% to 42%). Kerry also holds a larger lead among women (55% to 36%) than among men (47% to 42%). Bush leads Kerry among evangelical Christians (60% to 29%) and among married people (47% to 43%). The two major party tickets are tied among voters age 55 and older (Bush 44%; Kerry 48%).

“If the 2004 presidential election were being held today, would you vote for...”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results among likely voters</th>
<th>July 2004</th>
<th>August 2004</th>
<th>September 2004</th>
<th>October 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Kerry and John Edwards</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George W. Bush and Dick Cheney</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other answer (specify)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results among likely voters</th>
<th>Kerry / Edwards</th>
<th>Bush / Cheney</th>
<th>Other answer</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Likely Voters</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party registration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF Bay Area</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Southern California</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whites</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latinos</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Ranking of Candidates on Issues**

Sixty-one percent of likely voters say they are very closely following the election news—a much higher percentage than in August (48%) and September (50%)—and the interest level is high today among both Democrats (62%) and Republicans (61%). Fifty-four percent of likely voters say the debates did not much or nothing to help them make their voting decisions or that their minds were already made up; 17 percent said the debates helped a lot and 26 percent said some. Kerry voters are more likely than Bush voters to say the debates helped them at least somewhat (50% to 35%) in deciding how to vote.

When asked which issues they want the candidates to focus on most for the remainder of the presidential campaign, California voters put jobs and the economy at the top of the list (27%), followed by the war in Iraq (16%), health care (10%), and terrorism and homeland security (6%). When asked which of the two major candidates would do a better job on these issues, voters heavily favor Kerry over Bush on health care (56% to 32%) and jobs and the economy (55% to 38%) but more narrowly on handling Iraq (48% to 45%). Bush is seen as the stronger candidate on national security (49% to 43%). These rankings of the candidates on the issues have changed only marginally since September.

Large percentages of Democrats believe Kerry would do a better job than Bush handling the economy (87%), health care (84%), Iraq (78%), and homeland security (75%). Large percentages of Republicans give Bush the nod on handling homeland security (90%), Iraq (85%), jobs and the economy (77%), and health care (65%). Independent voters rate Kerry significantly higher than Bush on handling health care (60% to 25%), Iraq (54% to 35%), and the economy (56% to 31%) but give Kerry only a slight edge on handling homeland security (43% to 41%).

“Regardless of your choice for president, which of these candidates would do the better job on each of these issues—George W. Bush or John Kerry?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>September 2004</th>
<th>October 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The situation in Iraq</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other answer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health care</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other answer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jobs and the economy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other answer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terrorism and homeland security</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other answer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
U.S. Senate Election

In the U.S. Senate race, Democratic incumbent Barbara Boxer maintains an 18-point lead over Republican challenger Bill Jones among likely voters (53% to 35%), only 10 percent of whom are undecided. There has been little change in the U.S. Senate race since July.

Boxer and Jones get significant support from their respective parties—84 percent of Democrats support Boxer and 75 percent of Republicans support Jones. However, independents favor Boxer over Jones (60% to 21%). Regionally, Boxer has a wide lead in the San Francisco Bay Area and Los Angeles County, Jones leads in the Central Valley, and the two are more evenly matched in Other Southern California. Boxer has a much wider lead over Jones among women (58% to 29%) than among men (47% to 40%). She also has a wider lead among Latinos (67% to 23%) than among whites (50% to 39%). Married voters are divided between Boxer and Jones (44% to 44%), but Boxer has a wide lead among those who are not married (63% to 23%).

Only 42 percent of likely voters say they are following the U.S. Senate race very closely (12%) or fairly closely (30%). In contrast, 95 percent of likely voters are very closely (61%) or fairly closely (34%) following the presidential race. Fifty-four percent of likely voters say they have seen advertisements for the U.S. Senate race in the past month. Among these voters, 79 percent say those ads have been mostly for Boxer, 11 percent say the ads have been mostly Jones ads, and 10 percent say they have seen both equally or are unsure of whose they have seen more.

“If the November election for the U.S. Senate were being held today, would you vote for…”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results among likely voters</th>
<th>July 2004</th>
<th>August 2004</th>
<th>September 2004</th>
<th>October 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Boxer, the Democrat</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Jones, the Republican</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other answer (specify)</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State Proposition 63

Proposition 63 would fund the expansion of mental health services with an additional 1 percent income tax on Californians with taxable incomes above $1 million. A majority of Californians support this proposition by almost as large a margin now (62% yes; 24% no) as in August 2004 (66% yes; 26% no). Support for the measure today is much larger among Democratic likely voters (76%) and independent voters (67%) than among Republicans (46%). It is supported by majorities of likely voters in all regions and demographic groups. Latino likely voters are more likely than whites (72% to 60%) to back Proposition 63, as are women relative to men (67% to 57%).

Two in three likely voters (66%) continue to think the current level of state funding for mental health services is too low, while 13 percent say there is just enough and only 5 percent think there is too much funding. In August, 63 percent of likely voters said that the current level of state funding for mental health programs was too low.

Majorities or near majorities in all regions and demographic groups think that California’s mental health funding is too low. Across partisan groups, however, the majority who believe it is too low is much higher among Democrats (79%) and independent voters (73%) than among Republicans (51%). Along ideological lines, liberals (81%) are more likely than moderates (71%) and conservatives (49%) to believe the funding is too low. Among all those who think the funding is inadequate, 77 percent support Proposition 63.

“Proposition 63 on the November 2nd ballot is the ‘Mental Health Services Expansion and Funding Initiative’…. If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on Proposition 63?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results among likely voters</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Latinos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Rep</td>
<td>Ind</td>
<td>Central Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Do you think that the current level of state funding for mental health programs is more than enough, just enough, or not enough?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State Proposition 71

Proposition 71 would fund stem cell research in California through a $3 billion state bond issue. In the final weeks before the November 2nd election, 50 percent of likely voters say they plan to vote yes, while 39 percent say they would vote no and 11 are still undecided about the measure.

Support for the measure is stronger among Democrats (65%) than among independents (52%) and Republicans (33%). Across regions, a majority of voters support Proposition 71 in the San Francisco Bay Area (56%) and Other Southern California (56%), but not in Los Angeles (48%) and the Central Valley (34%). It is strongly opposed by evangelical Christians (56% no; 33% yes). About half of Latinos (51%) and whites (50%) support Proposition 71; women are slightly more likely than men to favor it (54% to 46%). The measure is supported by a bare majority among voters age 55 and older (52%).

About half of likely voters (53%) think the federal government spends too little on stem cell research, but opinions on this topic are strongly divided along partisan, ideological, and religious lines. Democrats (70%) are much more likely than independents (57%) and Republicans (30%) to think the federal government spends too little. Conservatives are less likely than liberals (28% to 73%), and evangelical Christians are less likely than others (32% to 55%), to believe federal support is too small. Among college graduates and voters with incomes of $80,000 or more, six in 10 say the government spends too little on stem cell research.

Voters’ perceptions of federal funding are correlated with their support for the state bond measure: Of those who think current federal funding levels are too low, 75 percent say they will vote yes on Proposition 71.

“Proposition 71 is the ‘Stem Cell Research Funding Bonds Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute’…. If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on Proposition 71?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results among likely voters</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Latinos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Rep</td>
<td>Ind</td>
<td>Central Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Do you think the federal government spends too much, the right amount, or too little on medical research using embryonic stem cells?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Too much</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right amount</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too little</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal government should not fund stem cell research <em>(volunteered)</em></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State Proposition 72

Proposition 72 is a referendum on legislation passed last year requiring all large and medium employers in the state to provide health insurance for their employees. At present, support for the referendum is below the 50 percent majority needed to approve it. Forty-one percent of likely voters now say they plan to vote yes on the measure, 38 percent are opposed, and 21 percent are undecided. In both August and September, voter support was at 45 percent, with 34 percent opposed and 21 percent undecided.

Among likely voters, a majority of Democrats (53%) favor the proposition, a majority of Republicans oppose it (54%), and support falls below a majority among independent voters (47%). Since September, the percentage of Republicans who oppose Proposition 72 has increased by 6 points, Democratic support has remained about the same, and the percentage of independent voters who are undecided on the measure has increased by 3 points.

Less than a majority of likely voters in all regions now back the measure. Support from Latino likely voters is at 44 percent today, compared to 53 percent in September. Support among white likely voters stands at 40 percent, about the same as last month. Support declines with age and household income and is somewhat lower among men than women (38% to 44%).

About two in three likely voters (64%) say it is very important that employers provide health care benefits for their employees, and another 23 percent consider it somewhat important. The responses were similar in August. Today, Democrats are more likely than Republicans to say it is very important to them (76% to 51%). Women are more likely than men (72% to 56%), and Latinos are more likely than whites (73% to 62%), to consider it very important. Of the two in three who say it is very important that employers provide health coverage, 55 percent say they will vote yes on Proposition 72.

“How important is it to you that large and medium employers provide health care benefits for their employees?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results among likely voters</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Latinos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>53% 25% 47%</td>
<td>32% 46% 40% 42%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>26% 54% 33%</td>
<td>49% 27% 40% 41%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21% 21% 20%</td>
<td>19% 27% 20% 17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
President’s Ratings

In California, President George W. Bush’s overall job approval ratings have not changed significantly throughout the 2004 presidential campaign. Today, 42 percent of California adults approve of the way Bush is handling his job as president, while 55 percent disapprove. In September, 43 percent approved and 54 percent disapproved; and in August, 40 percent approved and 56 percent disapproved. Bush’s approval rating has fallen sharply from its high point of 79 percent in December 2001. Recent national surveys show President’s Bush’s approval rating between the low and high 40’s among all Americans. In California, likely voters’ assessments of Bush’s overall performance are similar to those of all adults (44% approve; 54% disapprove).

The partisan gulf in Bush’s approval ratings continues to be wide: Today, 84 percent of Republicans approve of his job performance and 81 percent of Democrats disapprove. In September, 80 percent of Republicans approved and 80 percent of Democrats disapproved. Among independent voters, 39 percent now approve and 58 percent disapprove of the way the president is handling his job.

The president gets higher levels of support in the Central Valley (55%) and Other Southern California (50%) than in the San Francisco Bay Area (29%) and Los Angeles (35%). Evangelical Christians are much more likely than others to approve of the president’s job performance (61% to 39%). Fewer than half of both whites (46%) and Latinos (40%) approve.

“Overall do you approve or disapprove of the way that George W. Bush is handling his job as president of the United States?"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Adults</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely Voters</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party registration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF Bay Area</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Southern California</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evangelical Christian</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results among all adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Incumbent Democratic U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer has an approval rating of 53 percent as she heads into the final weeks of her reelection campaign. Her approval rating among all Californians has gone up 5 points since February 2004 and is now the highest it has been since February 2002 (52%). The senator’s disapproval rating, now at 27 percent, has held fairly steady over time. One in five Californians today has no opinion of Boxer. Among likely voters, 54 percent approve of Boxer’s job performance.

Along partisan lines, 79 percent of Democrats approve of her performance, while 58 percent of Republicans disapprove. Independents are more likely to approve than disapprove of Boxer’s performance (54% to 20%), with one in four remaining uncertain. She is rated much more favorably by liberals (72%) than moderates (55%) and conservatives (34%). Boxer’s approval rating is also higher among women than men (56% to 49%). Regionally, it is highest in the San Francisco Bay Area (65%) and higher in Los Angeles (54%) than in the Central Valley (46%) and Other Southern California (48%).

How do Californians rate the job performance of their other U.S. Senator, reelected in 2002? Among all Californians, 51 percent approve of Dianne Feinstein’s performance, while 26 percent disapprove and one in five has no opinion. Among likely voters, Feinstein’s approval rises to 58 percent. Her ratings are up slightly from October 2002, when 49 percent gave her positive marks and 25 percent disapproved. However, they remain slightly lower than the peak of 57 percent approval she received in February 2002.

Nearly three in four Democrats (72%) and 51 percent of independents approve of Feinstein’s performance as a U.S. Senator, while 45 percent of Republicans disapprove. Feinstein gets positive job ratings from 62 percent of liberals, 54 percent of moderates, and 39 percent of conservatives. The senator’s approval is highest in her San Francisco Bay Area home turf (62%), and higher in Los Angeles (51%) than in the Central Valley (45%) and Other Southern California (46%). Feinstein’s approval ratings increase with age and education and are similar among men and women (50% to 51%), among whites and Latinos (51% to 50%), and across income groups.
U.S. Congress’ Ratings

Fewer than three in 10 Californians think that the U.S. Congress is doing an excellent (3%) or good (24%) job at this time. Congress’ job ratings have dropped 5 points since February 2004 and, while they are similar to September 2003 (28% excellent or good), they are at a lower point today than they were at this late stage of the 2000 presidential election and the 2002 mid-term election (both 38% excellent or good). Today, 68 percent of Californians rate the job performance of Congress as only fair (46%) or poor (22%). Among likely voters, 25 percent say the U.S. Congress is doing an excellent or good job.

Republicans (36%) are considerably more likely than Democrats (21%) or independent voters (24%) to say the U.S. Congress is doing a good or excellent job. Latinos (36%) are more positive than whites (25%). Ratings of Congress are lower in the San Francisco Bay Area (23%) than in Other Southern California (31%) and the Central Valley or Los Angeles (30% and 26%, respectively).

“Overall, how do you rate the job performance of the U.S. Congress at this time?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Californians’ views of their own congressional representatives are more favorable than their views of the Congress overall: About four in 10 say their own congressperson is doing an excellent (7%) or good (32%) job. Fewer than half rate their own representative as fair (36%) or poor (10%). Nonetheless, the current favorable ratings (excellent or good) have dropped 3 points since February 2004 and are at a lower point than during the congressional elections that took place four years ago (October 2000: 44%) and two years ago (October 2002: 41%). Among likely voters today, 42 percent say their local representative is doing an excellent or good job.

Democrats (43%) are somewhat more favorable than Republicans (41%) or independents (34%) toward their local member of Congress. Latinos (41%) and whites (41%) are equally likely to rate their congressional representative as excellent or good. Congressional job performance ratings are more positive in the San Francisco Bay Area (44%) than in the Central Valley (38%), Los Angeles (36%), and Other Southern California (37%). Ratings of members of Congress increase somewhat with age but do not vary significantly by education or income.

“Overall, at this time, how do you rate the job performance of the representative to the U.S. House of Representatives from your congressional district?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Governor’s Ratings

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger’s ratings remain high. Six in 10 California adults and seven in 10 likely voters approve of the way he is handling his job. Schwarzenegger’s approval ratings have remained in a similar positive range throughout 2004. The governor has solid approval among Republicans (90%) and independents (62%), and even Democrats are more inclined to approve than disapprove of his job performance (51% to 39%). Majorities in all regions approve of the governor. However, Latinos are far less likely than whites to approve (36% to 73%). Schwarzenegger’s job approval is also lower among those with annual household incomes below $40,000 (47%), liberals (49%) and non-citizens (24%).

“Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as governor of California?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Central Valley</th>
<th>SF Bay Area</th>
<th>Los Angeles</th>
<th>Other Southern California</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the governor lived up to expectations? One year after his election, eight in 10 Californians say that Schwarzenegger has met (41%) or exceeded (40%) their expectations. Likely voters are even more positive, with nearly half saying he has done better than they expected. Of those Californians who say the governor is performing about as they expected, 58 percent approve and 34 percent disapprove of the way he is doing his job today, and of those who say he is doing better than they expected, nine in 10 approve of his current job performance. Republicans are the most positive, with 53 percent saying the governor has exceeded their expectations, while 38 percent of independents agree. Democrats are about equally likely to say Schwarzenegger has met (42%) or exceeded (38%) their expectations. Assessments of the governor’s first year in office are positive in all regions. Women are less likely than men (37% to 42%), and Latinos are less likely than whites (21% to 48%) to say Schwarzenegger has exceeded their expectations.

“Governor Schwarzenegger was elected in the recall election of October 2003. Overall, how would you describe his first year in office?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Central Valley</th>
<th>SF Bay Area</th>
<th>Los Angeles</th>
<th>Other Southern California</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better than expected</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About the same as expected</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse than expected</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State Legislature’s Ratings

The California legislature’s overall performance ratings are well below the governor’s. Forty-three percent of all adults approve and 41 percent disapprove of the way the state legislature is doing its job. Among likely voters, 40 percent approve and 46 percent disapprove. Among both all adults and likely voters, ratings of the state legislature have been fairly consistent throughout 2004—with about four in 10 residents and likely voters approving of its overall performance. This is a lower rating than four years ago (September 2000: 56% approve, 31% disapprove) and comparable to two years ago (September 2002: 45% approve, 36% disapprove). However, it is higher than in August 2003 when the legislature’s ratings were at a low point: Only 28 percent said they approved and 58 percent disapproved.

Today, Democrats (46%) are more positive than Republicans (39%) and independents (42%) about the state legislature, and liberals (47%) and moderates (46%) are somewhat more favorable than conservatives (40%) in their overall evaluations. Ratings of the state legislature are more favorable among Latinos (52%) than whites (38%) and among 18 to 34 year olds (53%) than among residents ages 35 to 54 (41%) or those age 55 and older (34%). About four in 10 residents in each of the state’s regions approve of the legislature’s job performance.

“Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that the California legislature is handling its job?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Central Valley</td>
<td>SF Bay Area</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Other Southern California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The public is more positive about the state representatives from their own Assembly and Senate districts: Forty-nine percent approve and only 31 percent disapprove of the job their legislators are doing. Likely voters provide similar ratings. Three years ago (December 2001), in the wake of the September 11th terrorist attacks, 61 percent of all adults approved and only 23 percent disapproved of their state legislators’ job performance.

Approval ratings for local state legislators are similar across the state’s regions. However, there are differences across partisan and other groups. Democrats (52%) are happier than Republicans (48%) and independent voters (46%) with their representatives to the State Assembly and Senate. Similarly, liberals are more positive (54%) than moderates (48%) or conservatives (46%). Latinos (60%) are more satisfied than whites (46%) with the state legislators from their districts.

“Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the job that the state legislators representing your assembly and state senate districts are doing at this time?”
**The Recall Revisited**

One year after the first gubernatorial recall election in California history—and given Governor Schwarzenegger’s performance ratings—how do Californians feel about the recall process? Nearly three in four residents think it is a good thing, and that is a nearly identical response to the question in our pre-recall election survey in October 2003. A solid majority of Democrats, Republicans, and independent voters hold this view. At the same time, about half say the recall process needs major or minor changes. Since September 2003, there has been an 11-point increase in the percentage who are O.K. with the recall election process as it is.

Today, only 18 percent of Californians say that last year’s recall election would make them less likely to support another recall, including relatively low percentages of Democrats (27%), independents (22%), and Republicans (5%). In fact, 30 percent say the experience made them more likely to use the recall, compared to 18 percent in the pre-recall election survey who felt they would be more inclined to support another recall following the October 2003 special election. While 23 percent of all adults today say the governor’s recall has made them feel worse about California elections, this is a sharp decline from the 40 percent who felt in October 2003 that the campaign to recall then-Governor Gray Davis made them feel worse about the state’s politics.

“Generally speaking, and regardless of how you felt about the recall election in October 2003, do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing that the California constitution provides a way to recall the state’s elected officials, such as the governor?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good thing</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad thing</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Generally speaking, and regardless of how you felt about the recall election last October, do you think the recall election process is in need of major changes, minor changes, or is it basically okay the way it is?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major changes</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor changes</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okay the way it is</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Overall, would you say the October 2003 recall election has made you feel better, worse, or no different about California politics?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No different</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electoral and Voting Systems

California holds 55 of the 270 electoral votes needed to win the presidency—by far the most of any state in this year’s election—but the major party candidates have spent little time or money campaigning in the state this year. California is perceived to be a “safe” Democratic stronghold; thus, the Bush and Kerry campaigns have invested their resources elsewhere in search of Electoral College votes. It appears that the 2004 presidential election will be a closely contested one—perhaps repeating the high drama of the 2000 election, when the presidential candidate who received the most popular votes failed to garner enough electoral votes to win the presidency and the election was decided by a few hundred popular votes in Florida, which then gave all of its electoral votes to George W. Bush. Following the 2000 election, 63 percent of all Americans said they would support changing the system to one based on direct popular vote, 33 percent said they would not support this change (ABC News/Washington Post poll, December 2000). Now, on the eve of the 2004 election, 64 percent of both all Californians and the state’s likely voters would support electing future presidents by direct popular vote. Only twenty-six percent of all adults, and 28 percent of likely voters, think that the Electoral College system should remain intact. Large majorities of California Democrats (76%) and independents (67%) support changing the electoral system, as do a bare majority of the state’s Republicans (52%). In January 2001, just prior to Bush’s inauguration, Californians said they favored changing the electoral system by a similarly large margin.

“For future presidential elections, would you support or oppose changing to a system in which the president is elected by direct popular vote, instead of by the Electoral College?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Would support change to popular vote</th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>76% 52% 67% 64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would oppose change to popular vote</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>16% 38% 26% 28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8% 10% 7% 8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite problems with “hanging chads” in the 2000 presidential election, Californians are relatively confident in the voting process. A slim majority (51%) express a great deal (26%) or quite a lot (25%) of confidence in the system by which votes are cast and counted in this country. Forty-seven percent say they have only some (28%), very little (17%), or no (2%) confidence in the voting system. Confidence is somewhat higher among the state’s likely voters, 58 percent of whom express a great deal or quite a lot of confidence in the system (similar to the 58 percent of likely voters nationally who reported these levels of confidence in a July 2004 National Public Radio survey). In California, Democrats (54%) and independents (53%) are much more likely than Republicans (23%) to have only some, very little, or no confidence in how votes are cast and counted at the national level.

“How much confidence do you have in the system in which votes are cast and counted in this country?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great deal</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>20% 42% 23% 29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quite a lot</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25% 34% 24% 29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>36% 16% 35% 28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very little / None</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18% 7% 18% 12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1% 1% 0% 2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Voting in California

Nine in 10 Californians are at least somewhat confident that their own vote will be properly and accurately counted on election day. A majority of Californians (52%) are very confident, and another 38 percent are somewhat confident, that their votes will be correctly tallied. Only one in 10 Californians is not very or not at all confident of an accurate count. Republicans, who were the most likely to have a great deal of confidence in the nation’s voting system, are also the most likely to be very confident that their own votes will be properly tallied: Seven in 10 Republicans are very confident, compared to 47 percent of Democrats and 46 percent of independents. Ninety-three percent of California’s likely voters are very confident (57%) or somewhat confident (36%) that their vote will be accurately counted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Thinking about your own vote, how much confidence do you have that your vote will be properly and accurately counted?”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very confident</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very confident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat confident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very confident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all confident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fifty-eight county election offices will administer the November 2nd election in California. The counties use three primary types of voting systems—touchscreens, optical scanning of paper ballots, and punch cards. In the November election, 10 counties will employ touchscreen machines, 36 will provide paper ballots, and 12 will use punchcards. Regardless of the system currently available to them, nearly four in 10 Californians would prefer to cast their votes electronically through touchscreen machines, 29 percent would prefer to mark paper ballots with pens, and 24 percent would prefer punchcards. Younger voters (ages 18 to 35) are much more likely than older voters (age 55 and older) to want to cast ballots electronically (42% to 24%). Electronic voting is preferred by 46 percent of those who live in counties that currently offer touchscreen voting, by 32 percent of those in counties using optical scanning of paper ballots, and by 26 percent of those in counties that currently use punchcard systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“In general, how would you prefer to cast your vote—electronically on a touchscreen machine, by punch card, by paper ballot with a pen, or by some other method?”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electronically</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper ballot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punch card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All methods equally (volunteered)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other method (specify)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Political Information Sources

And where do Californians go for news and information about politics? A plurality of residents (44%) say they turn to television as their primary source, while considerably fewer percentages rely on newspapers (20%), the radio (12%), the Internet (9%), and talking to other people (8%). Compared to two years ago, mention of newspapers as the main source of information has declined (30% to 20%), while mention of television has increased and also, nominally, mention of radio and the Internet. Democrats (28%) are more likely than Republicans (21%) and independents (19%) to cite newspapers as their main source of political information. Latinos are more likely than whites (62% to 37%) to get political information from television and less likely than whites to rely upon newspapers (11% to 25%). Reliance upon television as the primary source for political news declines sharply with education and income but does not vary by age. In contrast, reliance upon newspapers increases sharply with age, education, and income.

“How do you get most of your information about politics?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspapers</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talking to other people</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazines</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other / Don’t know</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although only one in eight Californians relies upon the radio for most political information, half of all adults and 56 percent of likely voters regularly or sometimes listen to radio shows that invite people to call in to discuss current events, public issues, and politics. Republicans (38%) are much more likely than Democrats (23%) and independents (26%) to listen to call-in radio shows regularly. What types of shows are these listeners turning to? Forty-three percent listen to programs that tend to be conservative, and 42 percent to programs that tend to be liberal. Seven in 10 Republicans who listen to call-in radio shows favor programs that tend to be conservative. By contrast, 56 percent of Democratic listeners tune in to programs that tend to be liberal. Across the state’s regions, Central Valley residents (57% conservative, 31% liberal) and San Francisco Bay Area residents (51% liberal, 29% conservative) are, respectively, the most right-leaning and left-leaning in their program preferences.

“How often, if ever, do you listen to radio shows that invite listeners to call in to discuss current events, public issues, and politics?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regularly</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although only one in 10 Californians uses the Internet as the primary source for political information, about four in 10 residents throughout the state have gone on-line in the past few months to get news and information about the presidential election. Although the Internet has become an increasingly important tool of political campaigns, the percentage of Californians who say they have recently gone on-line for political news and information has remained virtually unchanged since January 2001. Whites are much more likely than Latinos to seek presidential election news and information on-line (44% to 23%). The percentage of respondents who say they use the Internet to gather news and information about the presidential election decreases with age and increases with education and income.

“In the past few months, have you gone on-line to get news and information about the presidential election?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Adults</td>
<td>Dem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, often</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, sometimes</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No / No access</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the percentage of Californians seeking political information on-line has not increased since the last presidential election, more people are receiving on-line political information today. The percentage of adults who report being contacted by elected officials, political candidates, political parties, or political causes through e-mail has increased dramatically. In August 2000, only 11 percent of adults received political e-mail often (2%) or sometimes (9%); today, 25 percent of Californians say they receive political e-mail often (12%) or sometimes (13%). Democrats (33%) are more likely than Republicans (27%) to have received political e-mail during this election cycle.

“Do you ever receive e-mail messages from elected officials, political candidates, political parties, or political causes?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Adults</td>
<td>Dem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, often</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, sometimes</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No / No access</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Political Involvement

Despite high interest in the presidential election, relatively few Californians have been directly involved in campaigns. In our September 2004 survey, 71 percent of the state’s residents told us that it really matters who wins the presidential race. However, only 5 percent of all adults and 7 percent of likely voters in this survey say they have worked for a political party, candidate, or initiative campaign in the past 12 months. Participation in the form of political work during this presidential election year is almost the same as it was in 2002, which was a non-presidential election year. The rates of political work are uniformly low across party lines, candidate preferences, regions, and age, education, and income groups. Campaign work increases with age and education.

Californians are far more likely to have been politically involved over the past 12 months by giving money to a political party, candidate, or initiative campaign. Overall, 20 percent of adults and 29 percent of likely voters have contributed money to political causes or candidates. These percentages are also virtually the same as in 2002. Today, about one in four Democrats (28%) and Republicans (25%) have contributed money during the past year, compared to 13 percent of independents. There are also important demographic differences, with political donations increasing sharply with age, education, and income. As for racial/ethnic differences, 27 percent of whites say they have contributed money, compared to only 6 percent of Latinos. Interestingly, there is no difference between Kerry and Bush supporters in the likelihood of making political contributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the past 12 months, have you worked for a political party, candidate, or initiative campaign?</th>
<th>Worked</th>
<th>Given money to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Adults</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely Voters</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party registration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidential vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34 years old</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-54 years old</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 years or older</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $40,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000 to $79,999</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80,000 or more</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Political Empowerment

Do elections influence politicians? Sixty-nine percent of California adults and 77 percent of likely voters think that holding elections makes the government pay at least a fair amount of attention to what people think. However, three in 10 Californians and about one in five likely voters think that the electoral process results in little or no increase in government attentiveness to the people. Across parties, Republicans (81%) are more likely than Democrats (73%) and independents (66%) to think that holding elections makes policymakers pay attention to the concerns of constituents. Among those who are not registered to vote, 53 percent think that elections make the government pay a great deal (23%) or a fair amount (30%) of attention to the people. Across demographic groups, the percentage of adults who think elections result in greater government attention to public concerns increases with age, education and income. Whites are more likely than Latinos to hold this view (73% to 62%).

“**To what extent do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think?”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great deal</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair amount</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not too much</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None at all</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More generally, how much attention do Californians think the government grants them? Fifty-five percent of likely voters and 48 percent of all adults think that the government pays at least a fair amount of attention to what people think when deciding what to do. Republicans (63%) are more likely than Democrats (47%) and independents (44%) to think that the government takes the people’s interests to heart in the decisionmaking process. Californians who approve of the president are more likely than those who do not approve of his performance to feel that the government pays attention to what people think. Across demographic groups, whites are more likely than Latinos (51% to 40%) to say the government pays attention to what the people think, and this perception increases with age, education, and income. Among those who are not registered to vote, 32 percent think that the government pays a great deal (12%) or a fair amount (20%) of attention to the people.

“**In general, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do?”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Party Registration</th>
<th>Likely Voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great deal</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair amount</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not too much</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None at all</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Survey Methodology

The PPIC Statewide Survey is directed by Mark Baldassare, research director at the Public Policy Institute of California, with assistance in research and writing from Jon Cohen, associate survey director; Renatta DeFever and Kristy Michaud, survey research associates; and Kimberly Curry, survey intern.

The findings of this survey are based on a telephone survey of 2,018 California adult residents interviewed between October 10 and October 17, 2004. Interviewing took place on weekday nights and weekend days, using a computer-generated random sample of telephone numbers that ensured that both listed and unlisted telephone numbers were called. All telephone exchanges in California were eligible for calling. Telephone numbers in the survey sample were called up to ten times to increase the likelihood of reaching eligible households. Once a household was reached, an adult respondent (age 18 or older) was randomly chosen for interviewing by using the “last birthday method” to avoid biases in age and gender. Each interview took an average of 20 minutes to complete. Interviewing was conducted in English or Spanish. Casa Hispana translated the survey into Spanish, and Schulman, Ronca & Bucuvalas, Inc. conducted the telephone interviewing.

We used recent U.S. Census and state figures to compare the demographic characteristics of the survey sample with characteristics of California’s adult population. The survey sample was closely comparable to the census and state figures. The survey data in this report were statistically weighted to account for any demographic differences.

The sampling error for the total sample of 2,018 adults is +/- 2 percent at the 95 percent confidence level. This means that 95 times out of 100, the results will be within 2 percentage points of what they would be if all adults in California were interviewed. The sampling error for subgroups is larger. The sampling error for the 1,640 registered voters is +/- 2.5 percent, and the sampling error for the 1,170 likely voters is +/- 3 percent. Sampling error is only one type of error to which surveys are subject. Results may also be affected by factors such as question wording, question order, and survey timing.

Throughout the report, we refer to four geographic regions. “Central Valley” includes Butte, Colusa, El Dorado, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba Counties. “SF Bay Area” includes Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma Counties. “Los Angeles” refers to Los Angeles County, and “Other Southern California” includes the mostly suburban regions of Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and San Diego Counties. These four regions are the major population centers of the state, accounting for approximately 90 percent of the state population.

We present specific results for Latinos because they account for about 30 percent of the state’s adult population and constitute one of the fastest growing voter groups. The sample sizes for the African American and Asian subgroups are not large enough for separate statistical analysis. We do contrast the opinions of registered Democrats, Republicans, and independents. The “independents” category includes only those who are registered to vote as “decline to state.” Information about the types of voting systems used by California’s 58 counties comes from the California Secretary of State (http://www.ss.ca.gov/elections/voting_systems/voting_systems_november_2004.pdf).

In some cases, we compare PPIC Statewide Survey responses to responses recorded in national surveys conducted by ABC News/Washington Post and National Public Radio. We use earlier PPIC Statewide Surveys to analyze trends over time in California.
1. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or the wrong direction?
   - 44% right direction
   - 44 wrong direction
   - 12 don't know

2. Turning to economic conditions in California, do you think that during the next 12 months we will have good times financially or bad times?
   - 42% good times
   - 43 bad times
   - 15 don't know

3. If the November 2nd presidential election were being held today, would you vote for: [rotate] the Republican ticket of George W. Bush and Dick Cheney [or] the Democratic ticket of John Kerry and John Edwards?
   - 51% John Kerry and John Edwards
   - 39 George W. Bush and Dick Cheney
   - 3 other answer (specify)
   - 7 don't know

4. How closely are you following news about candidates for the upcoming presidential election—very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely?
   - 61% very closely
   - 34 fairly closely
   - 4 not too closely
   - 1 not at all closely

5a. Which one issue would you most like to hear the presidential candidates talk about between now and the November 2nd general election?
   [code, don't read]
   - 27% jobs; economy; unemployment
   - 16 Iraq situation; war in Iraq
   - 10 health care; health costs; Medicare
   - 6 terrorism; security issues
   - 6 education; schools
   - 5 immigration; illegal immigration
   - 4 federal budget; deficit; taxes
   - 3 foreign policy in general
   - 2 energy; oil prices; gasoline prices
   - 2 environment; pollution
   - 2 Social Security
   - 1 abortion
   - 1 crime; drugs
   - 1 gay rights; same-sex marriages
   - 1 family values; morals
   - 5 other (specify)
   - 8 don’t know

5b. Is there another issue that you want to hear about almost as much?
   [code, don’t read]
   - 20% jobs; economy; unemployment
   - 19 Iraq situation; war in Iraq
   - 16 health care; health costs; Medicare
   - 7 education; schools
   - 5 terrorism; security issues
   - 4 environment; pollution
   - 4 federal budget; deficit; taxes
   - 4 Social Security
   - 3 foreign policy in general
   - 3 immigration; illegal immigration
   - 2 energy; oil prices; gasoline prices
   - 2 government regulations
   - 1 abortion
   - 1 gay rights; same-sex marriages
   - 1 family values; morals
   - 1 population growth; too much development
   - 7 other (specify)
6. The Democratic and Republican candidates are having a series of debates. Some people learn about the debates from news reports as well as seeing or hearing about them. So far, have the debates helped you a lot, some, or not much in deciding who to vote for in the presidential election?

   17% a lot  
   26 some  
   37 not much  
   11 not at all [volunteered]  
   1 haven't seen, heard, read about debates [volunteered]  
   6 made up mind before debates [volunteered]  
   2 don't know

Regardless of your choice for president, which of these candidates would do the better job on each of these issues—George W. Bush or John Kerry?

[rotate questions 7 to 10]

7. How about the situation in Iraq?

   45% George W. Bush  
   48 John Kerry  
   2 other answer (specify)  
   5 don't know

8. How about health care?

   32% George W. Bush  
   56 John Kerry  
   3 other answer (specify)  
   9 don't know

9. How about jobs and the economy?

   38% George W. Bush  
   55 John Kerry  
   2 other answer (specify)  
   5 don't know

10. How about terrorism and homeland security?

   49% George W. Bush  
   43 John Kerry  
   3 other answer (specify)  
   5 don't know

11. If the November election for the U.S. Senate were being held today, would you vote for: [rotate] Barbara Boxer, the Democrat [or] Bill Jones, the Republican?

   53% Barbara Boxer  
   35 Bill Jones  
   2 other answer (specify)  
   10 don't know

12. How closely are you following news about candidates for the U.S. Senate election in California—very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely?

   12% very closely  
   30 fairly closely  
   39 not too closely  
   19 not at all closely

13. In the past month, have you seen any advertisements by the candidates for California's U.S. Senate election?

   54% yes [ask q. 13a]  
   46 no [skip to q. 14]

13a. Whose ads have you seen the most—Barbara Boxer's or Bill Jones'?

   79% Barbara Boxer's ads  
   11 Bill Jones' ads  
   7 both equally  
   1 other (specify)  
   2 don't know

14. Proposition 63 on the November 2nd ballot—the “Mental Health Services Expansion and Funding” initiative—establishes a 1 percent tax on taxable personal income above one million dollars to expand health services for mentally ill children, adults, and seniors. The fiscal impact includes additional state revenue of about 800 million dollars annually by 2006-2007, with comparable increases in state and county spending to expand mental health programs. If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on Proposition 63?

   62% yes  
   24 no  
   14 don't know

15. Do you think that the current level of state funding for mental health programs is more than enough, just enough, or not enough?

   5% more than enough  
   13 just enough  
   66 not enough  
   16 don't know
16. Proposition 71 is the “Stem Cell Research Funding Bonds Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.” This measure establishes the “California Institute for Regenerative Medicine” to regulate and fund stem cell research, the constitutional right to conduct such research, and an oversight committee. It prohibits funding of human reproductive cloning research. The expected fiscal impact is state costs of about 6 billion dollars over 30 years to pay off both the principal and interest on the bonds, with state payments averaging about 200 million dollars per year. If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on Proposition 71?
   
   50% yes
   39 no
   11 don't know

17. Do you think the federal government spends too much, the right amount, or too little on medical research using embryonic stem cells?
   
   14% too much
   14 the right amount
   53 too little
   3 federal government should not fund stem cell research
   16 don't know

18. Proposition 72 on the November ballot is the “Health Care Coverage Requirements Referendum.” A Yes vote approves and a No vote rejects state legislation requiring health care coverage for employees working for large and medium employers. The fiscal impacts include significant state expenditures on private health insurance offset fully by significant employer health coverage costs, significant county health program savings, and significant net state revenue losses. If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on Proposition 72?
   
   41% yes
   38 no
   21 don't know

19. How important is it to you that large and medium employers provide health care benefits for their employees—very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?
   
   64% very important
   23 somewhat important
   4 not too important
   6 not at all important
   3 don't know

20. Changing topics, overall do you approve or disapprove of the way that George W. Bush is handling his job as president of the United States?
   
   42% approve
   55 disapprove
   3 don't know

21. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that Dianne Feinstein is handling her job as U.S. Senator?
   
   51% approve
   26 disapprove
   23 don't know

22. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that Barbara Boxer is handling her job as U.S. Senator?
   
   53% approve
   27 disapprove
   20 don't know

23. Overall, how do you rate the job performance of the U.S. Congress at this time—excellent, good, fair, or poor?
   
   3% excellent
   24 good
   46 fair
   22 poor
   5 don't know

24. Overall, at this time, how do you rate the job performance of the representative to the U.S. House of Representatives from your congressional district—excellent, good, fair, or poor?
   
   7% excellent
   32 good
   36 fair
   10 poor
   15 don't know

25. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as governor of California?
   
   61% approve
   33 disapprove
   6 don't know
26. Governor Schwarzenegger was elected in the recall election of October 2003. Overall, how would you describe his first year in office—has it been better than you expected, about the same as you expected, or worse than you expected?
   40% better than expected
   41 about the same as expected
   17 worse than expected
   2 don't know

27. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that the California legislature is handling its job?
   43% approve
   41 disapprove
   16 don't know

28. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the job that the state legislators representing your assembly and state senate districts are doing at this time?
   49% approve
   31 disapprove
   20 don't know

29. Generally speaking, and regardless of how you felt about the recall election in October 2003, do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing that the California constitution provides a way to recall the state's elected officials, such as the governor?
   71% good thing
   24 bad thing
   5 don't know

30. Comparing today to before the recall election last October, are you more likely or less likely to support a recall of a state elected official in the future, or has it made no difference?
   30% more likely to support a recall
   18 less likely to support a recall
   46 no difference
   1 other (specify)
   5 don't know

31. Generally speaking, and regardless of how you felt about the recall election last October, do you think the recall election process is in need of major changes, minor changes, or is it basically okay the way it is?
   28% major changes
   24 minor changes
   43 okay the way it is
   5 don't know

32. Overall, would you say the October 2003 recall election has made you feel better, worse, or no different about California politics?
   33% better
   23 worse
   41 no different
   3 don't know

33. More generally, overall do you think that election campaigns in California have gotten better in the last 10 years, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
   14% gotten better
   31 gotten worse
   49 stayed about the same
   6 don't know

34. On another topic, some people are registered to vote and others are not. Are you absolutely certain that you are registered to vote?
   81% yes [ask q. 34a]
   19 no [skip to q. 35]

34a. Are you registered as a Democrat, a Republican, an independent, or as another party?
   42% Democrat
   34 Republican
   19 independent
   5 another party (specify)

35. Regardless of your current voter registration status, generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an independent, or what?
   34% Democrat [ask q. 36b]
   25 Republican [ask q. 36c]
   32 independent [ask q. 36a]
   6 other (specify) [ask q. 36a]
   3 don't know [ask q. 36a]

36a. Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or Democratic Party?
   29% Republican party
   45 Democratic party
   19 neither
   7 don't know

36b. Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?
   56% strong
   42 not very strong
   2 don't know
36c. Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

- 63% strong
- 35 not very strong
- 2 don't know

37. On another topic, would you consider yourself to be politically

- 12% very liberal
- 22 somewhat liberal
- 28 middle-of-the-road
- 25 somewhat conservative
- 11 very conservative
- 2 don't know

38. Generally speaking, how much interest would you say you have in politics—a great deal, a fair amount, only a little, or none?

- 30% great deal
- 44 fair amount
- 22 only a little
- 4 none

39. How do you get most of your information about politics—from television, newspapers, radio, the Internet, magazines, or talking to other people? [if television: Would that be major network TV, local TV, or cable news stations such as CNN or MSNBC?]

- 14% network television
- 12 local television
- 18 cable television
- 20 newspapers
- 12 radio
- 9 Internet
- 8 talking to other people
- 2 magazines
- 4 other (specify)
- 1 don't know

40. How often, if ever, do you listen to radio shows that invite listeners to call in to discuss current events, public issues, and politics—regularly, sometimes, rarely, or never?

- 27% regularly
- 23 sometimes
- 21 rarely
- 29 never

41. Do the radio call-in shows you listen to tend to be more conservative or more liberal?

- 43% more conservative
- 42 more liberal
- 7 other answer (specify)
- 8 don't know

42. In the past few months, have you gone on-line to get news and information about the presidential election? If yes: Did you do this often or only sometimes?

- 19% yes, often
- 20 yes, sometimes
- 61 no

43. Do you ever receive e-mail messages from elected officials, political candidates, political parties, or political causes? If yes: Does this happen to you often or only sometimes?

- 12% yes, often
- 13 yes, sometimes
- 75 no

[rotate questions 44 and 45]

44. In the past 12 months, have you worked for a political party, candidate, or initiative campaign?

- 5% yes
- 95 no

45. And in the past 12 months, have you given money to a political party, candidate, or initiative campaign?

- 20% yes
- 80 no

[Responses recorded for questions 46 to 47a are from registered voters only]

46. How often would you say you vote—always, nearly always, part of the time, seldom, or never?

- 68% always
- 18 nearly always
- 8 part of the time
- 3 seldom
- 3 never

47. Do you plan to vote in the general election on November 2nd?

- 97% yes [ask q. 47a]
- 3 no [skip q. 48]

47a. Will you vote at your local polling place or by absentee ballot?

- 69% local polling place
- 30 absentee ballot
- 1 don't know
48. Overall, how much confidence do you have in the system in which votes are cast and counted in this country—a great deal, quite a lot, some, or very little?

   26%  great deal
   25  quite a lot
   28  some
   17  very little
   2  none [volunteered]
   2  don’t know

49. Thinking about your own vote, how much confidence do you have that your vote will be properly and accurately counted—very confident, somewhat confident, not very confident, or not at all confident?

   52%  very confident
   38  somewhat confident
   7  not very confident
   3  not at all confident

50. In general, how would you prefer to cast your vote—electronically on a touchscreen machine, by punch card, by paper ballot with a pen, or by some other method?

   36%  electronically
   29  paper ballot
   24  punch card
   4  prefer all methods equally [volunteered]
   3  other method (specify)
   4  don’t know

51. For future presidential elections, would you support or oppose changing to a system in which the president is elected by direct popular vote, instead of by the Electoral College?

   64%  would support change to popular vote
   26  would oppose change to popular vote
   10  don’t know

52. To what extent do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think—a great deal, a fair amount, not too much, or none at all?

   27%  great deal
   42  fair amount
   23  not too much
   6  none at all
   2  don’t know

53. In general, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do—a great deal, a fair amount, not too much, or none at all?

   9%  great deal
   39  fair amount
   40  not too much
   10  none at all
   2  don’t know

54. On another topic, are you, yourself, now covered by any form of health insurance or health plan?

   80%  yes
   20  no

55. Are you or is anyone in your immediate family a member of a labor union? If yes: Is that person you or another person in your family?

   11%  yes, respondent
   10  yes, another person in family
   2  yes, both
   77  no
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